

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/724,852		12/01/2003	Patricia Ann Piers	24793-25	2156		
	24256	7590	03/20/2006		EXAM	INER		
	DINSMOR	E & SH	OHL, LLP		IZQUIERDO	IZQUIERDO, DAVID A		
1900 CHEMED CENTER								
	255 EAST F	IFTH ST	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	CINCINNA'	TI, OH	45202		3738			

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{M}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/724,852	PIERS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Izquierdo	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		• .				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 De	ecember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-103,175 and 176</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-103, 175, and 176</u> are subject to re	striction and/or election require	ment.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	- have have					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
						* See the attached detailed Office action for a list
		•				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-101, drawn to a method, classified in class 703, subclass 11.
- II. Claims 102, 103, 175 and 176, drawn to multifocal opthalmic lens, classified in class 623, subclass 6.27.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the multifocal opthalmic lens can be used within a telescope.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Upon selection of invention I a further election of one of the following species is required:

Species A: Paragraphs 21-26.

Species B: Paragraph 35.

Species C: Paragraphs 36-38.

Species D: Paragraph 39.

Species E: Paragraph 40.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,852

Art Unit: 3738

Species F: Paragraph 41.

Species G: Paragraph 55.

Species H: Paragraph 62.

5. Upon selection of invention I a further election of one of the following species is required:

Species A: Paragraphs 27-32.

Species B: Paragraph 44.

Species C: Paragraphs 45-47.

Species D: Paragraphs 48-54.

Species E: Paragraphs 56-58.

Species F: Paragraph 61.

Species G: Paragraph 63.

Species H: Paragraphs 64-67.

Species I: Paragraph 78.

Species J: Paragraph 101-113.

Species K: Paragraph 104-111.

Species L: Paragraph 112-117

The species are independent or distinct because they pertain to divergent subject matter.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,852 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

- 8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 9. A telephone call was made to Scott Catlin on March 13, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,852 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Izquierdo whose telephone number is 571-272-1943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am until 4:30 pm.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Homer Sauff Frimary Examiner

DAI